

---

## Laboratory Experience 2 - Optional

**Task** This experience focuses on a visual recognition algorithm called Naive-Bayes Nearest-Neighbor [1]. You are asked to implement the algorithm and test it on a scene recognition dataset.

**A short explanation of the algorithm.** Given a query image  $I = \{d_1, \dots, d_n\}$  (where  $d_i \in \mathbb{R}^D$  is a local image descriptor) and a set of classes  $\mathbf{C} = \{C_1, \dots, C_n\}$ , the ML estimate of the class of image  $I$  is:

$$\hat{C} = \arg \max_{C \in \mathbf{C}} p(I|C) = p(d_1, \dots, d_n|C). \quad (1)$$

This also corresponds to the MAP estimate  $\arg \max_{C \in \mathbf{C}} p(C|I)$ , whenever the class priors  $p(C)$  are uniform. Taking the negative logarithm of this quantity and using the Naive-Bayes assumption (that the local descriptors are conditionally independent, given the class  $C$ ), we obtain:

$$\hat{C} = \arg \min_{C \in \mathbf{C}} -\log p(d_1, \dots, d_n|C) \quad (2)$$

$$= \arg \min_{C \in \mathbf{C}} -\log \prod_{i=1}^n p(d_i|C) \quad (3)$$

$$= \arg \min_{C \in \mathbf{C}} -\sum_{i=1}^n \log p(d_i|C). \quad (4)$$

We can estimate  $p(d_i|C)$ , using a kernel density estimator:

$$\hat{p}(d_i|C) = \frac{1}{Lh^D} \sum_{l=1}^L K\left(\frac{d_i - d_{lC}}{h}\right), \quad (5)$$

where  $d_{jC}$  is the  $j$ -th local descriptor from class  $C$ ,  $L$  is the total number of local descriptors in  $C$ ,  $K(x) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{D}{2}} \exp(-\|x\|^2)$  and  $h$  is the bandwidth parameter.

This quantity is difficult to compute, because the number of local descriptors in a class  $C$  is huge. Nonetheless it can reliably be approximated [1] by using only the single Nearest

Neighbor  $d_{NN_iC}$  of  $d_i$  in class  $C$ , to obtain the final classification rule:

$$\hat{C} = \arg \min_{C \in \mathbf{C}} - \sum_{i=1}^n \log \hat{p}(d_i|C) \quad (6)$$

$$= \arg \min_{C \in \mathbf{C}} - \sum_{i=1}^n \log \left( \frac{1}{Lh^D} \sum_{l=1}^L K \left( \frac{d_i - d_{lC}}{h} \right) \right) \quad (7)$$

$$\approx \arg \min_{C \in \mathbf{C}} - \sum_{i=1}^n \log K \left( \frac{d_i - d_{NN_iC}}{h} \right) \quad (8)$$

$$= \arg \min_{C \in \mathbf{C}} \sum_{i=1}^n \|d_i - d_{NN_iC}\|^2 \quad (9)$$

The resulting classification algorithm is extremely simple, requires no training and it can achieve classification performances comparable to the more complicate bag of visual words models.

## Experiments

### 1. Implement the NBNN algorithm:

- to efficiently compute the Nearest-Neighbor  $d_{NN_iC}$  in class  $C$  of a descriptor  $d_i$ , it's necessary to make use of an approximate NN search algorithm
- we suggest to make use of FLANN, a widely used open source library for approximate Nearest Neighbor, with a Matlab interface. You can download it from: <http://mloss.org/software/view/143/>
- remember that this algorithm requires using the local SIFT descriptors (i.e. `/path/to/15Scenes/features/SIFT(...).mat`), rather than the PHOW features

### 2. Perform a scene recognition experiment on the 15 Scenes dataset [2], using:

- the same experimental protocol of the first mandatory experience
- the features already computed for the first mandatory experience
- $\alpha = \{0, 1\}$  (the coefficient of the spatial coordinates)

### 3. Optionally, experiment by decreasing the spacing between the SIFT local descriptors (e.g. to 6, or 4 pixels)

### 4. Optionally, experiment with a configuration of your choice on the ISR dataset [3], using the features and the experimental protocol introduced in the first mandatory experience

Run each experiment twice, with different training/testing splits and report the multiclass accuracies (the mean class recognition rate), as mean  $\pm$  std.

For the best configuration report also:

- the confusion matrix
- recognition rate per class

## References

- [1] O. Boiman, E. Shechtman, and M. Irani. In defense of nearest-neighbor based image classification. In *Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, 2008. CVPR 2008. IEEE Conference on*, pages 1–8. IEEE, 2008.
- [2] S. Lazebnik, C. Schmid, and J. Ponce. Beyond bags of features: Spatial pyramid matching for recognizing natural scene categories. In *Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, 2006 IEEE Computer Society Conference on*, volume 2, pages 2169–2178. IEEE, 2006.
- [3] A. Quattoni and A. Torralba. Recognizing indoor scenes. In *In Proc. Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*. IEEE, 2009.